

REMARKS**Response to Claim Objections**

Claim 92 is objected to by the Examiner because of the following informalities: "targ t" should read --targeted--. Applicants have reviewed the claim as presented and could not locate the informality. Applicants question whether this might be a facsimile artifact. A copy of page eleven of the amendment adding claim 92 which was faxed to the Patent Office is attached.

Response to Claim Rejections Under 35 USC §102

Claims 1, 52, 55, 58 and 65 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Ghíatas. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,158,084).

Applicants have amended the rejected claims in order to clarify the invention. Specifically, applicants have added the feature of the fixation element having a retracted and extended configuration which the Examiner indicated (in claim 53 for example) was allowable. Other amendments have been made to the rejected claims and allowed claims to render the terminology used in these claims consistent. The applicants believe that these claims are now in condition for allowance.

Response to Allowable Subject Matter

The applicants note with appreciation the indicated allowance of Claims 68-91 and that claims 49, 53, 54, 56, 57, 59-64, 66, 67 and 92 were directed to patentable subject matter.

Conclusions

The applicants respectfully request further consideration of the pending claims pursuant to the concurrently filed Request for Continued Examination. Favorable consideration and an early allowance of the pending claims are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: 

Edward J. Lynch
Registration No. 24,422
Attorney for Applicants

DUANE MORRIS LLP
One Market
Spear Tower, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 371-2200
Facsimile: (415) 371-2201
Direct Dial: (415) 371-2267

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.